

EQUILIBRIUM FLUCTUATIONS FOR THE ZERO-RANGE PROCESS ON THE SIERPINSKI GASKET

M.D. JARA

ABSTRACT. We obtain the fluctuations from the hydrodynamic limit for the zero-range process in the Sierpinski gasket V . The limiting process is given by a generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process associated to the Neumann Laplacian on V .

1. INTRODUCTION

A considerable amount of progress has been accomplished in the study of the motion of a particle in fractal structures, like the Sierpinski gasket or the Sierpinski carpet. See [K], [B] for a survey of the field. However, much less is known for systems of interacting particles evolving in fractal structures. In the other hand, a well-developed theory of hydrodynamic limit of interacting particle systems has been developed [K-L].

In this article, we perform a first step into the study of interacting particle systems in fractal structures. We obtain the fluctuations of the empirical distribution of particles for the zero-range process from its equilibrium state. We prove that, when properly rescaled, the fluctuations are given by a generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process defined on a Sobolev-like space of functionals over the Sierpinski gasket. In particular, the fluctuations are given by a Gaussian process, like in the case of the cubic lattice, but are sub-diffusive in the sense that the scaling exponent is not 2. This collective behavior of the particles is different from the behavior of a single particle. In fact, the scaling limit of a simple random walk on the graph approximations to this fractal is the so-called Brownian motion on the Sierpinski gasket [B-P], and this process is not a semimartingale.

We organize this article as follows. In section 2, we introduce the Laplacian on the Sierpinski gasket and we construct the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process as an appropriated limit of finite-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. Notice that this construction applies each time the Laplacian has a compact resolvent. In particular, the results of this article can be obtained in a straight-forward way for any finitely ramified fractal in the sense of Kigami [K]. In section 3 we introduce the zero-range process and we outline the proof of the main result. In section 4 we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 obtaining the necessary lemmas.

2. GENERALIZED ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESS IN THE SIERPINSKI GASKET

2.1. The Sierpinski gasket. Let $a_0 = (0, 0)$, $a_1 = (1, 0)$, $a_2 = (1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ be the vertexes of the equilateral triangle $V_0 = \{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$. Define $f_i(z) = (z + a_i)/2$ for

Key words and phrases. Zero-range process, equilibrium fluctuations, Sierpinski gasket.

$i = 0, 1, 2$. The Sierpinski gasket is the unique compact, non-empty set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$V = \bigcup_{i=0,1,2} f_i(V).$$

A more explicit, recursive construction of V is the following. For each $n \geq 0$, define inductively $V_{n+1} = \cup_{i=0,1,2} f_i(V_n)$, and set $V^* = \cup_{n \geq 0} V_n$. Then, V is the closure of V^* in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let μ_n denote the measure which assigns mass 3^{-n} to each point on V_n . The sequence of measures $\{\mu_n\}$ converge in the vague topology to the Hausdorff measure μ of dimension $d_f = \log 3 / \log 2$ on V . We define a graph $\Gamma_n = (V_n, E_n)$ in the natural way: $e_n = \{\langle xy \rangle; x, y \in V_n, |x - y| = 2^{-n}\}$. For each function $f : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and each $n \geq 0$ we define

$$\mathcal{E}_n(f, f) = (5/3)^n \sum_{\langle xy \rangle \in E_n} (f(y) - f(x))^2.$$

A simple computation shows that for any f the sequence $\{\mathcal{E}_n(f, f)\}_n$ is non-decreasing. Therefore, the (possibly infinite) limit

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_n(f, f)$$

is well-defined. We say that $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ if $\mathcal{E}(f, f) < \infty$. For any function $f : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have the following estimate [K]:

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{x, y \in V_n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq 6\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_n(f, f)},$$

where $\alpha = (\log 5/3)/\log 4$. Therefore, any function $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ is Hölder-continuous and can be continuously extended to V . For each f in $\mathcal{H}_1$, we let $\mathbb{H}_n f$ be the solution of the variational problem

$$\inf\{\mathcal{E}(g, g); g(x) = f(x) \text{ for all } x \in V_n\}.$$

A simple computation shows that $\mathbb{H}_n f$ is well-defined. In particular, the space $\mathcal{H}_1$ is non-empty and dense in $\mathcal{C}(V)$, the set of continuous functions $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We give a Hilbert structure to $\mathcal{H}_1$ by taking the norm $\|f\|_1 = \mathcal{E}(f, f)^{1/2}$ and defining the inner product $\mathcal{E}(f, g)$ by polarization. We have the inclusions $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}(V) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$. Define $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ as the dual space of $\mathcal{H}_1$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$: for $f \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$,

$$\|f\|_{-1} := \sup \left\{ \int f g d\mu; g \in \mathcal{H}_1, \|g\|_1 = 1 \right\}$$

and let $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu)$ with respect to this norm. Notice that for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{E}_n(f, g) = - \int f \Delta_n g d\mu_n$, where Δ_n is the operator given by

$$\Delta_n g(x) = 5^n \sum_{y: \langle xy \rangle \in E_n} (f(y) - f(x)).$$

The operator Δ_n corresponds to the discrete Laplacian on the graph Γ_n with some appropriated weight. This fact motivates the definition of the Laplacian in V as the generator of the inner product $\|f\|_1$ with respect to the measure μ : for $g \in \mathcal{H}_1$, we define Δg as the unique element of $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ such that $\int f \Delta g d\mu = \mathcal{E}(f, g)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$. We can think indistinctly the operator Δ as an isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ or as an unbounded, densely defined operator from $D(\Delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$ to $\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$. The operator Δ is called the *Neumann Laplacian* on V . In fact, the operator $-\Delta$ is essentially self-adjoint, non-negative and has a discrete spectrum $\{\lambda_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, with $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots < +\infty$ and $\lim_k \lambda_k = +\infty$. An extensive treatment of these

results based on explicit computations for the case of the Dirichlet Laplacian can be found in [F-S]. A proof of these results for the more general class of p.c.f.s.s sets can be found in [K].

2.2. Construction of the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Let v_k be a normal eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue λ_k . Then, $\{v_k, k \geq 0\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$ and also for $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_{-1}$. For a function $f \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$, define the Fourier coefficients f_k by $f_k = \int f v_k d\mu$. We define the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_m$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\|f\|_m^2 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda_k^m f_k^2.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_m$ the completion of $\{f; \|f\|_m < \infty\}$ under this norm. Notice that this definition is consistent with our previous definition of $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_{-1}$, and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$. Now we can state the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *Fix $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and $m \geq 2$. There exists a process $\mathcal{Y}_t$ with trajectories in the space of continuous paths $\mathcal{C}([0, \infty), \mathcal{H}_{-m})$ that solves the following martingale problem:*

(MP) *For any function $g \in \mathcal{H}_m$.*

$$\mathcal{M}_t(g) = \mathcal{Y}_t(g) - \mathcal{Y}_0(g) - \int_0^t \beta \mathcal{Y}_s(\Delta g) ds$$

is a martingale of quadratic variation

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_t(g) \rangle = \gamma t \mathcal{E}(g, g)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}_t$ is uniquely determined by its initial distribution.

Define $H_k = \text{span}\{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$. Clearly, H_k is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. We define $\mathcal{Y}_t^{k,0}$ as the Itô's process in $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, solution of the stochastic equation

$$d\mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(t) = -\beta \lambda_i \mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(t) dt + \sqrt{\gamma \lambda_i} dB_i(t), i = 0, \dots, k,$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(0) = Y_i^{k,0},$$

where $(B_0(t), \dots, B_k(t))$ is a $k+1$ -dimensional standard Brownian motion and $Y^{k,0} = (Y_0^{k,0}, \dots, Y_k^{k,0})$ is the initial condition. We define then the process $\mathcal{Y}_t^k$ in by

$$\mathcal{Y}_t^k(f) = \sum_{i=0}^k f_i \mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(t),$$

Notice that $\mathcal{Y}_t^k$ is well defined in $\mathcal{H}_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{R}$. If the sequence of random variables $Y_0^k(0)$ is consistent, then the sequence of processes $\mathcal{Y}_i^{n,0}(t)$ is also consistent, and by Kolmogorov's extension theorem there exists a limiting process for this sequence. However, this limiting process does not have *a priori* continuous trajectories. We will prove that the sequence of processes $\{\mathcal{Y}_t^k\}_k$ is tight in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{-m})$ for every $T > 0$. By Mitoma's criterion, it is enough to prove that $\{\mathcal{Y}_t^k(f)\}_k$ is tight in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$, and that for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{f: \|f\|_m \leq \delta} P \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathcal{Y}_t^k(f)| \geq \epsilon \right] = 0.$$

By Itô's formula,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_t^k(f) = \mathcal{Y}_t^k(f) - \mathcal{Y}_0^k(f) - \beta \int_0^t \mathcal{Y}_s^k(\Delta f) ds$$

is a martingale of quadratic variation

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_t^k(f) \rangle = \gamma t \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i f_i^2.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{M}_t^k$ is a Brownian motion of mean zero and variance $\gamma \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f_i^2$. Therefore, the sequence $\{\mathcal{M}_t^k(f)\}_k$ is tight if and only if

$$\sup_k \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f_i^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i^2 < +\infty.$$

Now we show that the integral term in 2.3 is tight. It is enough to prove that

$$\sup_k \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E[\mathcal{Y}_t^k(\Delta f)^2] < \infty.$$

Define $\psi_i(t) = E[\mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(t)^2]$, and assume that $\psi_i(0) < \infty$. Applying Itô's formula to $\mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(t)^2$, we obtain that

$$\psi_i(t) = \frac{\gamma}{2\beta} (1 - e^{-2\beta\lambda_i t}) + \psi_i(0)e^{-2\beta\lambda_i t}.$$

In the same way, defining $\psi_{ij}(t) = E[\mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(t)\mathcal{Y}_j^{k,0}(t)]$, we see that

$$\psi_{ij}(t) = \psi_{ij}(0)e^{-\beta(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)t}.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{Y}_t^k(f)$, we see that

$$E[\mathcal{Y}_t^k(\Delta f)^2] = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\gamma \lambda_i^2 f_i^2}{2\beta} (1 - e^{-2\beta\lambda_i t}) + (\Delta P_t f^k)^* \Psi_k(\Delta P_t f^k),$$

where $\Delta P_t f^k$ is an abbreviation for the vector $(\lambda_0 e^{-\beta\lambda_0 t} f_0, \dots, \lambda_k e^{-\beta\lambda_k t} f_k)$, and Ψ_k is the matrix $(E[\mathcal{Y}_i^{k,0}(0)\mathcal{Y}_j^{k,0}(0)])_{ij}$. In particular, the integral term in 2.3 is tight, provided that

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda_i^2 f_i^2 < +\infty \text{ and } \sup_{k \geq 0} \|\Psi_k\| < +\infty.$$

Since $\lambda_1 > 0$, this last condition imply that $\sum_i \lambda_i f_i^2 < +\infty$. This is equivalent to $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_0$ be the limiting distribution of $\mathcal{Y}_0^k$. The condition in Ψ is equivalent to the condition $E[\mathcal{Y}_0(f)^2] \leq C\|f\|_2$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Using Tchebyshev's and Doob's inequalities, we see that these very same conditions allow us to obtain the estimate 2.2. Therefore, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{Y}_0$ be a random variable in $\mathcal{H}_{-2}$ such that there exists a constant C with $E[\mathcal{Y}_0(f)^2] \leq C\|f\|_2$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Then, the processes $\mathcal{Y}_t^k$ in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{-2})$ form a tight sequence.*

Now we want to prove that the limit point is unique. Let $\mathcal{Y}_t$ be a limit point of $\mathcal{Y}_t^k$. For each $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$, taking the approximation $f_{(n)} = \sum_{i \leq n} f_i v_i$, it is easy to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_t(f) &= \mathcal{Y}_t(f) - \mathcal{Y}_0(f) - \int_0^t \beta \mathcal{Y}_s(\Delta f) ds, \\ &\quad (\mathcal{M}_t(f))^2 - \gamma t \|f\|_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

are martingales (this is straightforward if $f_n = 0$ for n large enough). Take $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, T]$ and $f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(n)}$ in $\mathcal{H}_2$. Using this martingale representation,

it is easy to obtain the distribution of the vector $(\mathcal{Y}_{t_1}(f^{(1)}), \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{t_n}(f^{(n)}))$. Since these finite-dimensional distributions determine probability in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{-2})$, we conclude that the limit point $\mathcal{Y}_t$ is unique, and satisfies the martingale problem of Theorem 2.1.

3. THE ZERO-RANGE PROCESS IN THE SIERPINSKI GASKET

Consider the state space $\Omega_n = \mathbb{N}_0^{V_n}$. For any element $\eta \in \Omega_n$ and any site $x \in V_n$, $\eta(x)$ represents the number of particles at x . Let $h : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a given function with $h(0) = 0$. Particles evolve in V_n according to the following rule. At each time t , a particle jumps from site x to its neighbor y at an exponential rate $h(\eta_t(x))$. This happens independently for each pair $\langle xy \rangle$ of neighboring sites. After a jump, a new exponential clock begins with the corresponding rate, independently of the past of the process. In this way we have described a Markov process η_t with state space Ω_n an generated by the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_n f(\eta) = \sum_{\langle xy \rangle \in E_n} h(\eta(x)) [f(\eta^{xy}) - f(\eta)],$$

where

$$\eta^{xy}(z) = \begin{cases} \eta(x) - 1, & z = x \\ \eta(y) + 1, & z = y \\ \eta(z), & z \neq x, y. \end{cases}$$

We will assume that the jump rate $h(\cdot)$ has linear growth and bounded variation: there exists a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\epsilon_0 n \leq h(n) \leq \epsilon_0^{-1}$, and $\sup_n |h(n+1) - h(n)| < +\infty$. With these assumptions, the process η_t has a one-parameter family of invariant measures ν_ρ , $\rho \in [0, \infty)$ of product form. The marginals of ν_ρ are given by

$$\nu_\rho(\eta(x) = k) = \frac{1}{Z(\rho)} \frac{\phi(\rho)^k}{h(k)!},$$

where $h(k)! = h(1) \cdots h(k)$, $h(0)! = 1$, $Z(\rho)$ is a normalization constant and $\phi(\rho)$ is chosen in such a way that $\int \eta(x) d\nu_\rho(\eta) = \rho$ for every $x \in V_n$. Notice that $\int h(\eta(x)) d\nu_\rho = \phi(\rho)$.

3.1. The fluctuation field. For each function $f : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the empirical measure $\pi_t^n(f)$ by

$$\pi_t^n(f) = \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{x \in V_n} \eta_t(x) f(x),$$

where η_t is the zero-range process defined in the previous section. When the process η_t starts from the equilibrium measure ν_ρ , by the law of large numbers $\pi_t^n(f)$ converges to $\rho \int f d\mu$ for any continuous function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In order to investigate the fluctuations of the empirical measure, we define the fluctuation field $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ by its action over functions f in some appropriated Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_m$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_t^n(f) = \frac{1}{3^{n/2}} \sum_{x \in V_n} (\eta_t^n(x) - \rho) f(x),$$

where $\eta_t^n = \eta_{5^n t}$. We have speeded up the process by 5^n in order to obtain a non-trivial limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix a positive integer $m \geq 3$. Then the process $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ converges in distribution to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $\mathcal{Y}_t$ with characteristics $\beta = \phi'(\rho)$ and $\gamma = \phi(\rho)$ and initial distribution $\mathcal{Y}_0$, where $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is a Gaussian field of mean zero and covariance $E[\mathcal{Y}_0(f)\mathcal{Y}_0(g)] = \int fg d\mu$.*

Now we outline the proof Theorem 3.1, stating the main steps as lemmas to be proved in the next sections.

Proof. For each function f , Dynkin's formula shows that

$$(3.1) \quad M_t^n(f) = \mathcal{Z}_t^n(f) - \mathcal{Z}_0^n(f) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{3^{n/2}} (h(\eta_s^n(x)) - \phi(\rho)) \Delta_n f(x) ds$$

is a martingale of quadratic variation

$$\langle M_t^n(f) \rangle = \int_0^t \frac{5^n}{3^n} \sum_{\langle xy \rangle \in E_n} (h(\eta_s^n(x)) + h(\eta_s^n(y))) [f(y) - f(x)]^2 ds.$$

For any $m \geq 3$, by Lemma 4.2 the sequence of processes $\{\mathcal{Z}_t^n\}_n$ is tight in $\mathcal{D}([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{-m})$, and the limit points are concentrated in continuous trajectories. Let $\mathcal{Z}_t$ a limit point of this sequence. To simplify the notation, we just call n the subsequence for which $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ converges to $\mathcal{Z}_t$. By Lemma 4.4, we can replace $h(\eta_s^n(x)) - \phi(\rho)$ by $\phi'(\rho)(\eta_s^n(x) - \rho)$ in 3.1. By Lemma 4.3, for any function $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$ the martingales $\mathcal{M}_t^n(f)$ converge in distribution to the martingale

$$\mathcal{Z}_t(f) - \mathcal{Z}_0(f) - \int_0^t \phi'(\rho) \mathcal{Z}_s(\Delta f) ds$$

of quadratic variation $\phi(\rho)t\mathcal{E}(f, f)$. By the central limit theorem and standard computations, the initial distribution $\mathcal{Z}_0^n$ converges to $\mathcal{Y}_0$. Therefore, the process $\mathcal{Z}_t$ satisfy the martingale problem 2.3. Since there is a unique process satisfying this martingale problem, we conclude that $\mathcal{Z}_t = \mathcal{Y}_t$. Therefore, the sequence $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ has a unique limit point. Since the topology of convergence in distribution is metrizable, we conclude that the whole sequence $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ converges in distribution to $\mathcal{Y}_t$. $\square$

4. PROOFS

In this section we prove Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, closing in this way the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4.1. Tightness of $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$. In this subsection we prove tightness of the sequence $\{\mathcal{Z}_t^n\}_n$ in $\mathcal{D}([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{-m})$. First, notice that $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$. In fact, by equation 2.1, for any function $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_0 + 6\|f\|_1$, from which the Dirac- δ distribution, and therefore $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ is in $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$. By Mitoma's criterion, we have to prove that $\mathcal{Z}_t^n(f)$ is tight for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$, and that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\|f\|_m \leq \delta} \sup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{P}_\rho \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathcal{Z}_t^n(f)| \geq \epsilon \right) = 0.$$

By the martingale decomposition of $\mathcal{Z}_t^n(f)$, it is enough to prove the following estimates.

Proposition 4.1. *The sequence $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ of processes with trajectories in $\mathcal{D}([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{-m})$ is tight if for any f in $\mathcal{H}_m$, we have*

i)

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}_\rho \left[\left(\frac{1}{3^{n/2}} \sum_{x \in V_n} (h(\eta_t^n(x)) - \rho) \Delta_n f(x) \right)^2 \right] = 0$$

ii)

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}_\rho \left[\left(\frac{5^n}{3^n} \sum_{\langle xy \rangle \in E_n} h(\eta_t^n(x)) (f(y) - f(x))^2 \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

Moreover, the limit points of $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ are concentrated on continuous trajectories if

iii) There exists $\delta(f, n)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(f, n) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\rho \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathcal{Z}_{t+}^n(f) - \mathcal{Z}_t^n(f)| > \delta(f, n) \right] = 0.$$

Using the invariance and the product form of the initial distribution ν_ρ , it is not hard to rewrite conditions i) and ii) in terms of conditions on f . The first estimate follows if Δf is continuous. Since functions in $\mathcal{H}_1$ are continuous, for $m \geq 3$, Δf is continuous. This is the only point where we need m to be larger than 3. The second estimate follows when $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ from the fact that functions f in $\mathcal{H}_1$ are “differentiable” in a classical variational sense:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in V_n} (5/3)^n \sum_{y: \langle xy \rangle \in E_n} (f(y) - f(x))^2 = 0.$$

Since the process η_t^n has no simultaneous jumps for almost every trajectory, the fourth estimate follows taking $\delta(f, n) = 2\|f\|_\infty/3^{n/2}$. Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Lemma 4.2. *For any $m \geq 3$, the sequence $\{\mathcal{Z}_t^n\}_n$ of processes in $\mathcal{D}([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{-m})$ is tight.*

4.2. The Boltzmann-Gibbs principle. In this subsection we prove the following lemma, known as the Boltzmann-Gibbs principle:

Lemma 4.3 (Boltzmann-Gibbs principle). *For any continuous function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\rho \left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{3^{n/2}} \sum_{x \in V_n} [h(\eta_s^n(x)) - \phi(\rho) - \phi'(\rho)(\eta_s^n(x) - \rho)] f(x) ds \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

What this theorem says is that the fluctuations of non-conserved quantities are much faster than the fluctuations of conserved quantities. Therefore, when properly rescaled, the only component of the non-linear fluctuation field associated to $h(\eta(x))$ that survives is the projection over the conserved quantity, in this case, the number of particles $\eta(x)$. The Boltzmann-Gibbs principle was first introduced by Rost [B-R]. We adopt here Chang’s proof [C] (see also [K-L]).

Proof. The first step into this proof is to localize the problem. Let $k > 0$ be a fixed integer, that will go to ∞ after n . For each point $x \in V_n$, we define $\Delta_n^k(x) \subseteq V_n$ as the triangle of side $2^{-(n-k)}$ that contains x and with vertexes in V_{n-k} . Notice that for $x \in V_{n-k} \setminus V_0$, there are two possible choices for $\Delta_n^k(x)$, and exactly one choice for $x \notin V_{n-k} \setminus V_0$. We solve this tie in any consistent way, it does not really

matter, after all. Let $b_k = 3(3^k + 1)/2$ be the number of points from V_n in $\Delta_n^k(x)$. Notice that b_k does not depend on n . Define $\mathcal{V}_{n,k}(x, \eta)$ by

$$\mathcal{V}_{n,k}(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{b_k} \sum_{y \in \Delta_n^k(x)} \left(h(\eta(y)) - \phi(\rho) - \phi'(\rho)(\eta(y) - \rho) \right).$$

There are 3^{n-k} possible choices for the sets $\Delta_n^k(x)$. For each one of them, choose a representant x_i^n . The sequence $\{x_i^n\}_i$ is chosen in such a way that for any $x \in V_n$ there exists i with $\Delta_n^k(x) = \Delta_n^k(x_i^n)$, and for any $i \neq j$, $\Delta_n^k(x_i^n) \neq \Delta_n^k(x_j^n)$. Since f is continuous and V is compact, f is uniformly continuous. Therefore, the theorem follows if we prove that

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\rho \left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{3^{n/2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3^{n-k}} \mathcal{V}_{n,k}(x_i^n, \eta_s^n) f(x_i^n) ds \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

Here we have introduced a convenient averaging on $\Delta_n^k(x_i)$, that we will exploit below. Let $\mathcal{L}_{n,i}$ the generator of the process restricted to $\Delta_n^k(x_i)$. Take a function $\Theta : \mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta_n^k(x_1)}$ in $\mathcal{L}_2(\nu_\rho)$, and let Θ_i be its translation to $\mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta_n^k(x_i)}$. Notice that the dynamics on each block $\Delta_n^k(x_i)$ is the same. Using the estimate [K-L]

$$\mathbb{E}_\rho \left[\left(\int_0^t \varphi(\eta_s^n) ds \right)^2 \right] \leq 20t \int \varphi(\eta) (-5^n \mathcal{L}_n)^{-1} \varphi(\eta) d\nu_\rho,$$

we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}_\rho \left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{3^{n/2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3^{n-k}} \mathcal{L}_{n,i} \Theta_i(\eta_s^n) f(x_i^n) ds \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{20t \|f\|_\infty^2}{3^k 5^n} \int \Theta(\eta) (-\mathcal{L}_{n,0}) \Theta(\eta) d\nu_\rho,$$

where we have also used the fact that the dynamics is the same on each block $\Delta_n^k(x_i)$. In particular, the left-hand side of this inequality converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we can subtract this term from equation 4.1, and it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\Theta \in \mathcal{L}_2(\nu_\rho)} \limsup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_\rho \left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{1}{3^{n/2}} \sum_{i=1}^{3^{n-k}} f(x_i^n) [\mathcal{V}_{n,k}(x_i^n, \eta_s^n) - \mathcal{L}_{n,i} \Theta_i(\eta_s^n)] ds \right)^2 \right] = 0.$$

Here the infimum is over functions defined in $\mathbb{N}_0^{\Delta_n^k(x_0^n)}$. Remember that ν_ρ is a product measure. Therefore, integrals over non-adjacent triangles are independent. Putting the expectation in the previous integral into the time integral, using stationarity and letting N go to ∞ , we see that it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\Theta \in \mathcal{L}_2(\nu_\rho)} \frac{1}{3^k} \int (\mathcal{V}_k(\eta) - \mathcal{L}_k \Theta)^2 d\nu_\rho = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_k(\eta) = \mathcal{V}_{k,k}(x, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{L}_k$ is the generator of the process on the triangle Δ_k . Since Δ_k has a number of points of order 3^k , this last limit follows from the equivalence of ensembles and standard arguments. $\square$

4.3. The martingale problem. Once we have proved that the sequence of processes $\{\mathcal{Z}_t^n\}_n$ is tight, and we know how to write the integral term in 3.1 as a function of the process $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$, we need to prove that the martingale decomposition of $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$ still holds in the limit. Let $\mathcal{Z}_t$ be a limit point of $\mathcal{Z}_t^n$. We simply denote by n the subsequence for which the convergence is realized. By Lemma 4.3,

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{3^{n/2}} \sum_{x \in V_n} (h(\eta_s^n(x)) - \phi(\rho)) \Delta_n f(x) ds - \int_0^t \phi'(\rho) \mathcal{Z}_s^n(\Delta f) ds \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

in $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{P}_\rho)$ and, in particular, in probability. Therefore, the integral term in 3.1 converges in distribution to $\int_0^t \phi'(\rho) \mathcal{Z}_s(\Delta f) ds$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_t^n(f)$ converges in distribution to $\mathcal{Z}_t(f)$, we see that $M_t^n(f)$ converges in distribution to

$$M_t(f) = \mathcal{Z}_t(f) - \phi'(\rho) \int_0^t \mathcal{Z}_s(\Delta f) ds.$$

Lemma 4.4. *For any $f \in \mathcal{H}_m$ with $m \geq 2$, the processes $M_t(f)$ and $M_t(f)^2 - \phi(\rho)t\|f\|_1^2$ are martingales.*

Proof. A sufficient condition for the limit $M_t(f)$ to be a martingale is the existence of a deterministic constant b such that the jumps of $M_t^n(f)$ are uniformly bounded by b . But the jumps of $M_t^n(f)$ are bounded by $\|f\|_\infty/3^{n/2}$. Notice now that the quadratic variation $\langle M_t^n(f) \rangle$ converges in $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{P}_\rho)$ to $\phi(\rho)t\|f\|_1^2$. Therefore,

$$M_t^n(f)^2 - \langle M_t^n(f) \rangle \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} M_t(f)^2 - \phi(\rho)t\|f\|_1^2$$

in distribution. Again, since the jumps of $M_t^n(f)$ are uniformly bounded on n , we conclude that $M_t(f)^2 - \phi(\rho)t\|f\|_1^2$ is also a martingale. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [B] Barlow, M. Diffusions on fractals. Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1995), 1-121, Lecture Notes in Math., 1690, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [B-P] Barlow, M. T.; Perkins, E. A. Brownian motion on the Sierpiński gasket. Probab. Theory Related Fields 79 (1988), no. 4, 543–623.
- [B-R] Brox, T.; Rost, H. Equilibrium fluctuations of stochastic particle systems: the role of conserved quantities. Ann. Probab. 12 (1984), no. 3, 742-759.
- [C] Chang, C. C. Equilibrium fluctuations of gradient reversible particle systems. Probab. Theory Related Fields 100 (1994), no. 3, 269-283.
- [F-S] Fukushima, M., Shima, T. On a spectral analysis for the Sierpiński gasket. Potential Anal. 1 (1992), no. 1, 1-35.
- [K] Kigami, J. Analysis on fractals. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 143. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [K-L] Kipnis, C., Landim, C. Scaling limits of interacting particle systems. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 320. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

IMPA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, CEP 22460 RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRAZIL
E-MAIL: monets@impa.br